



## Wisconsin Department of Commerce PECFA Program

**Bid Round number** 07  
**PECFA number:** 54481-3835-01-A&B  
**BRRTS number:** 02-60-000472  
**Site Owner:** Schierl, Inc. – Tim Schierl  
**Site Name:** Schierl, Inc. – Bulk Plant  
**Site Address:** 2201 Madison St., Stevens Point, WI

**Project Manager:** Dee Zoellner  
**Project Manager address:** 2129 Jackson St., Oshkosh, WI 54901  
**Project Manager phone:** 920-424-0046  
**Project Manager e-mail address** [Dzoellner@commerce.state.wi.us](mailto:Dzoellner@commerce.state.wi.us)

### Questions and Answers

1. Has the contaminated soil that was stockpiles within the dike been disposed of?

Answer: Unknown

2. Contamination along the western property boundary appears to be from an off site source. Has it been determined that this contamination is from an off site source or is Schierl responsible for monitoring this contaminant plume as well?

Answer: It has been determined to be from an off site source.

3. Was the “slop tank” removed?

Answer: Unknown

4. If the tank has been removed was soil contamination detected?

Answer: Unknown

5. Has the product level in MW-1(Area 1) increased since 1997?

Answer: Unknown

6. Is there any additional GW data available since 1997 sampling? If so could they be published?

Answer: No

7. Who is doing the investigation at the Delzell Mobil Oil Property?

Answer: BT<sup>2</sup>

8. The contamination concentrations in MW-1(Area 1) appear to be pretty low to show free product. Where was the sample collected?

Answer: Unknown

9. Please explain what caused the significant contamination concentration decrease in MW5 from the first and second sampling event.

Answer: Unknown, there is almost 3 years difference in the sampling rounds.

10. Is the department satisfied with the GW results collected from Geoprobes to define the plume limits and boundaries? If not were additional monitoring wells installed?

Answer: As the bid spec states “create a monitoring network that would be able to demonstrate Natural Attenuation and a stable if not a decreasing groundwater contamination plume.” No

11. It is a know fact that the current consultant BT2 does all of the environmental consulting for Schierl, Inc. Is the property owner going to change consultant?

Answer: Unknown. That is up to the responsible party.

12. Are the costs submitted by the current consultant, for remediation of the site, going to be considered their bid or are they going to be allowed to rebid?

Answer: No and Yes – can rebid

13. Is the property owner willing to accept a deed restriction be placed on their property? What about surrounding property owners?

Answer: Yes

14. In part 3) Minimum Remedial Requirements, you state that a monitoring network needs to be created to demonstrate natural attenuation and a stable or decreasing groundwater contamination plume. Based on this and the apparent size of the facility, are you suggesting that additional monitoring points need to be installed? If so how many?

Answer: It is up to the bidding consultant to determine if there is an adequate number of monitoring points to demonstrate the minimum remedial requirement in a cost effective manner. If not, then additional wells need to be installed.

15. Are there any other sampling events not included in this report dated June 1997? If so, can we obtain those results as that information could be used to perform Mann-Kendall analysis.

Answer: There are no other sampling events.